Lua & TEX tokens

Taco Hoekwater

LuaT_EX has had a token Lua library since the early beginnings, but it was more a proof of concept, and it has never worked really well at that.

This talk presents a new, hopefully better interface between Lua code and the TFX language parsing.

Old state

To get a new token from the input, you called the function token.get_next() or token.lookup():

```
local 1 = token.lookup("if")
```

Such 'tokens' were simple Lua tables with three integer values within:

- 1 [1] The command code \rightarrow 120
- 1[2] The command modifier code \rightarrow 0
- 1[3] The control sequence id \rightarrow 65536

Use

To get something meaningful out of those numerical values, you had to run another function. For example:

```
token.command_name(1) \rightarrow "if_test" token.csname_name(1) \rightarrow "iftrue" token.is_expandable(1) \rightarrow true token.is_activechar(1) \rightarrow false token.is_protected(1) \rightarrow false
```

Other functions

```
token.create(<number> chr [,<number cmd])
token.csname_id(<string> csname)
token.command_id(<string> cmdname)
token.expand()
```

Planned new state

The functions get_next(), lookup(), and create() still exist, but they return a userdata object that contains the *actual* TEX token.

Some of the helper functions go away, and instead that are accessible fields in the token itself:

- 1.cmd
- 1.mod
- 1.cs
- 1.cmdname
- 1.csname
- 1.expandable
- 1.active
- 1.protected

New functions

Various new functions are for actual input parsing:

```
token.scan_keyword(<string> keyword)
token.scan_int()
token.scan_dimen()
token.scan_glue()
token.scan_toks()
```

To think about

- token.expand() behaviour
- \meaning and \def
- 1.next or actual tables
- more scan_xxxx() functions
- input stack